arXiv:1610.07097v1 [math.RT] 22 Oct 2016

ANALYSIS OF THE MINIMAL REPRESENTATIONS OF SL$(n, \mathbb{R})$

Dehbia Achab

dehbia.achab@imj-prg.fr

Abstract The minimal representations of SL$(n, \mathbb{R})$ can be realized on a Hilbert space of holomorphic functions. This is the analogue of the Brylinski-Kostant model. They can also be realized on a Hilbert space of homogeneous functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$. This is the analogue of the Kobayashi-Orsted model. We will describe the two realizations and a transformation which maps one model to the other. It can be seen as an analogue of the classical Bargmann transform.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010 : 22E46, 17C36

Keywords : minimal representation, Bargmann transform.

Introduction. In the paper [A.11] a general construction for a simple complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ has been proposed, starting from a pair (V, Q) where V is a semi-simple Jordan algebra of rank ≤ 4 and Q a polynomial on V, homogeneous of degree 4. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is not of Hermitian type.
In the paper [AF.12], the manifold Ξ is the orbit of Q under the conformal group $\mathrm{Conf}(V, Q)$ acting on a space of polynomials $\mathcal{W}$ by an irreducible representation κ. The Fock space $\mathcal{F}(\Xi)$ is a Hilbert space of holomorphic functions on the complex manifold Ξ. The spherical minimal representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ is realized (when it exists) in $\mathcal{F}(\Xi)$.
In the paper [A.14], using the decomposition $V = \oplus_{i=1}^{s} V_i$ of V into simple summands , which means that $Q = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \Delta_i(z_i)^{k_i}$ gets factored as a product of powers of Jordan determinants Δ_i of V_i, and considering irreducible representations $\kappa_i^{(k_i)}$ of the conformal groups $\mathrm{Conf}(V_i, \Delta_i)$, which act on spaces $\mathcal{W}_i^{(k_i)}$ of the polynomials generated by the $\Delta_i^{k_i}(z_i - a_i)$ for $a_i \in V_i$, the manifold Ξ is the orbit of Q, under the tensor product representation $\widetilde{\kappa} := \otimes_{i=1}^{s} \kappa_i^{(k_i)}$ of the group $\prod_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{Conf}(V_i, \Delta_i)$ in $\mathfrak{p} = \otimes_{i=1}^{s} \mathcal{W}_i^{(k_i)}$. Then one gets a quotient map $\widetilde{\Xi} \to \Xi, (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s) \mapsto \xi_1^{k_1} \ldots \xi_s^{k_s}$ of Ξ, where $\widetilde{\Xi} = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \Xi_i$ is the product of the orbits Ξ_i of the Δ_i under $\mathrm{Conf}(V_i, \Delta_i)$, acting on spaces $\mathcal{W}_i$ of the polynomials generated by the $\Delta_i(z_i - a_i)$ by irreducible representations κ_i.

The Fock spaces $\mathcal{F}_q(\widetilde{\Xi})$ are Hilbert spaces of holomorphic functions on the complex manifold $\widetilde{\Xi}$, and $\mathcal{F}_q(\widetilde{\Xi}) = \mathcal{F}(\Xi)$ iff $q = 0$. The minimal representations of $\mathfrak{g}$ are realized in the $\mathcal{F}_q(\widetilde{\Xi})$, for some suitable multi-indices $q \in \mathbb{N}^s$. This is the analogue of the Brylinski-Kostant model ([BK.94], [B.97], [B.98]).

In this paper we consider the special case where $V = \mathbb{C}^p$, Q is the square of a quadratic form and the construction leads to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(p+2, \mathbb{R})$ with $p \geq 3$. The two minimal representations ρ_0 and ρ_1 are respectively realized in $\mathcal{F}_0(\widetilde{\Xi})$ and $\mathcal{F}_1(\widetilde{\Xi})$.

On another hand, we realize the two minimal representations ω_0 and ω_1 of $\mathrm{SL}(p+2, \mathbb{R})$ on spaces $\mathcal{V}_0(\mathbb{R}^{p+2})$ and $\mathcal{V}_1(\mathbb{R}^{p+2})_1$ of homogeneous functions on $\mathbb{R}^{p+2}$. This is the analogue of one of the Kobayashi-Orsted models ([KO.03a], [KO.03b], [KO.03c]).

We also give explicit integral operators $\mathcal{B}_0$ from the space $\mathcal{V}_0(\mathbb{R}^{p+2})$ onto the space $\mathcal{F}_0(\widetilde{\Xi})$ which intertwins the representations ρ_0 and $d\omega_0$ of $\mathrm{SL}(p+2, \mathbb{R})$, and $\mathcal{B}_1$ from the space $\mathcal{V}_1(\mathbb{R}^{p+2})$ onto the space $\mathcal{F}_1(\widetilde{\Xi})$ which intertwins the representations ρ_1 and $d\omega_1$ of $\mathrm{SL}(p+2, \mathbb{R})$. These unitary operators can be seen as analogues of the classical Bargmann transform.

1. The analogue of the Brylinski-Kostant model. General case

Let V be a finite dimensional complex vector space and Q a homogeneous polynomial on V. We define the structure group $\mathrm{Str}(V,Q)$ as the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ of transformationns g for which there exists $\gamma(g) \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$Q(g \cdot x) = \gamma(g)Q(x)\}.$$

Assume that there exists $e \in V$ such that

(1) The symmetric bilinear form $\langle x, y\rangle = -D_x D_y \log Q(e)$, is non-degenerate.

(2) The orbit of e under $\mathrm{Str}(V,Q)$ is open and symmetric

Under (1) and (2), the vector space V carries a Jordan algebra structure, and this Jordan algebra is semi-simple (see [AF.12] Theorem 1.1 and also [FK94] for Jordan algebras theory).

The conformal group $\mathrm{Conf}(V,Q)$ is the group of rational transformations g of V generated by: the translations $z \mapsto z+a$ $(a \in V)$, the dilations $z \mapsto \ell \cdot z$ $(\ell \in L)$, and the inversion $j : z \mapsto -z^{-1}$. The conformal group is a complex Lie group, it is the Kantor-Koecher-Tits group of the complex Jordan algebra V.

Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the space of polynomials on V generated by the translated $Q(z-a)$ of Q, with $a \in V$. Let κ be the cocycle representation of $\mathrm{Conf}(V,Q)$ or of a covering of order two of it on $\mathcal{W}$, defined in [A.11] and [AF.12] as follows:

Case 1. In case there exists a character χ of $\mathrm{Str}(V,Q)$ such that $\chi^2 = \gamma$, then let $K = \mathrm{Conf}(V,Q)$. Define the cocycle

$$\mu(g,z) = \chi((Dg(z)^{-1}) \quad (g \in K,\ z \in V),$$

and the representation κ of K on $\mathcal{W}$,

$$(\kappa(g)p)(z) = \mu(g^{-1},z)p(g^{-1} \cdot z).$$

The function $\kappa(g)p$ belongs actually to $\mathcal{W}$ (see [FG96], Proposition 6.2). The cocycle $\mu(g,z)$ is a polynomial in z of degree $\leq \deg Q$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
(\kappa(\tau_a)p)(z) &= p(z-a) \quad (a \in V),\\
(\kappa(\ell)p)(z) &= \chi(\ell)p(\ell^{-1} \cdot z) \quad (\ell \in \mathrm{Str}(V,Q)),\\
(\kappa(j)p)(z) &= Q(z)p(-z^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2. Otherwise the group K is defined as the set of pairs (g, μ) with $g \in \mathrm{Conf}(V, Q)$, and μ is a rational function on V such that

$$\mu(z)^2 = \gamma(Dg(z))^{-1}.$$

We consider on K the product $(g_1, \mu_1)(g_2, \mu_2) = (g_1 g_2, \mu_3)$ with $\mu_3(z) = \mu_1(g_2 \cdot z)\mu_2(z)$. For $\tilde{g} = (g, \mu) \in K$, define $\mu(\tilde{g}, z) := \mu(z)$. Then $\mu(\tilde{g}, z)$ is a cocycle: $\mu(\tilde{g}_1\tilde{g}_2, z) = \mu(\tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_2 \cdot z)\mu(\tilde{g}_2, z)$, where $\tilde{g} \cdot z = g \cdot z$ by definition.

We recall the construction of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ in [A.11] and [AF.12]. The Jordan algebra is assumed of rank ≤ 4 and the polynomial Q is assumed to be homogeneous of degree 4. We use the same notation

$$\mathfrak{k} = \mathrm{Lie}(K), \quad \mathfrak{p} = \mathcal{W}.$$

Then there exists $H \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathrm{str}(V, Q))$ where $\mathrm{str}(V, Q)) = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{Str}(V, Q))$ which defines gradings of $\mathfrak{k}$ and of $\mathfrak{p}$:

$$\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_{-1} + \mathfrak{k}_0 + \mathfrak{k}_1, \quad \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_{-2} + \mathfrak{p}_{-1} + \mathfrak{p}_0 + \mathfrak{p}_1 + \mathfrak{p}_2,$$

with

$$\mathfrak{k}_j = \{X \in \mathfrak{k} \mid \mathrm{ad}(H)X = jX\}, \quad (j = -1, 0, 1),$$
$$\mathfrak{k}_{-1} \simeq V, \quad \mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathrm{Lie}(L), \quad \mathrm{Ad}(\sigma) : \mathfrak{k}_j \to \mathfrak{k}_{-j},$$

and where

$$\mathfrak{p}_j = \{p \in \mathfrak{p} \mid \mathrm{d}\kappa(H)p = jp\}$$

is the set of polynomials in $\mathfrak{p}$, homogeneous of degree $j + 2$. Furthermore $\kappa(\sigma) : \mathfrak{p}_j \to \mathfrak{p}_{-j}$, and

$$\mathfrak{p}_{-2} = \mathbb{C}, \quad \mathfrak{p}_2 = \mathbb{C}\, Q, \quad \mathfrak{p}_{-1} \simeq V, \quad \mathfrak{p}_1 \simeq V.$$

Define $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$, $E := Q$, $F := 1$. Then

$$[H, E] = 2E, \quad [H, F] = -2F.$$

Theorem 1.1. There is a unique Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying

$$(i) \quad [X, X'] = [X, X']_{\mathfrak{k}} \quad (X, X' \in \mathfrak{k}),$$
$$(ii) \quad [X, p] = \mathrm{d}\kappa(X)p \quad (X \in \mathfrak{k}, p \in \mathfrak{p}),$$
$$(iii) \quad [E, F] = H.$$

(Theorem 3.1 in [A.11] and Theorem 4.1 in [AF.12]).

We recall also the real form $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ which we consider. We fix a Euclidean real form $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ of the complex Jordan algebra V, denote by $z \mapsto \bar{z}$ the conjugation of V with respect to $V_{\mathbb{R}}$, and then consider the involution $g \mapsto \bar{g}$ of $\mathrm{Conf}(V,Q)$ given by: $\bar{g} \cdot z = \overline{g \cdot \bar{z}}$. For $(g,\mu) \in K$ define

$$\overline{(g,\mu)} = (\bar{g},\bar{\mu}), \text{ where } \bar{\mu}(z) = \overline{\mu(\bar{z})}.$$

The involution α defined by $\alpha(g) = \sigma \circ \bar{g} \circ \sigma^{-1}$ is a Cartan involution of K (see Proposition 1.1. in [P.02]), and $K_{\mathbb{R}} = \{g \in K \mid \alpha(g) = g\}$ is a compact real form of K.

Let $\mathfrak{u}$ be the compact real form of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}}$, the Lie algebra of $K_{\mathbb{R}}$. Denote by $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{p} \cap (\mathfrak{i}\mathfrak{u})$. Then, $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}} = \{p \in \mathfrak{p} \mid \kappa(\sigma)\bar{p} = p\}$, where $\bar{p}(z) = \overline{p(\bar{z})}$. The real Lie algebra defined by

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}} + \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}} \qquad (*)$$

is a real form of $\mathfrak{g}$ and the decomposition $(*)$ is its Cartan decomposition.

Examples

- $V = \mathbb{C}^n, Q(z) = (z_1^2 + \ldots + z_n^2)^2$, then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{R})$.
- $V = \mathbb{C}^p \oplus \mathbb{C}^q, Q(z) = (z_1^2 + \ldots + z_p^2)(z_{p+1}^2 + \ldots + z_{p+q}^2)$, then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(p+2,q+2;\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{so}(p+2,q+2)$.
- $V = \mathrm{Sym}(4,\mathbb{C}), Q(z) = \det(z)$, then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{e}_6$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{e}_{6(6)}$.
- $V = \mathrm{M}(4,\mathbb{C}), Q(z) = \det(z)$, then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{e}_7$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{e}_{7(7)}$

The Jordan algebra V is a direct sum of simple ideals:

$$V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} V_i,$$

and

$$Q(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \Delta_i(z_i)^{k_i} \quad (z = (z_1, \ldots, z_s)),$$

where Δ_i is the determinant polynomial of the simple Jordan algebra V_i and the k_i are positive integers. The degree of Q is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^{s} k_i r_i$, where r_i is the rank of V_i.

We consider the structure groups

$$\mathrm{Str}(V_i, \Delta_i) = \{g \in GL(V_i) \mid \exists \gamma_i(g) \in \mathbb{C}^*, \Delta_i(g \cdot x) = \gamma_i(g)\Delta_i(x)\}$$

and the conformal groups $\mathrm{Conf}(V_i, \Delta_i)$, the corresponding groups K_i analogous of K, the spaces $\mathcal{W}_i$ of the polynomials on V_i generated by the $\Delta_i(z_i - a_i)$ with $a_i \in V_i$ and the cocycle representations κ_i of K_i, analogous of κ, on the spaces $\mathcal{W}_i$ given by $\big(\kappa_i(g_i)p\big)(z_i) = \mu_i(g_i^{-1}, z_i)p(g_i^{-1} \cdot z_i)$. More precisely, $K_i = \mathrm{Conf}(V_i, \Delta_i)$ when there exists a character χ_i of L_i satisfying $\chi_i^2 = \gamma_i$ and $K_i = \{\tilde{g}_i = (g_i, \mu_i) \mid g_i \in \mathrm{Conf}(V_i, \Delta_i), \mu_i(z_i)^2 = \gamma_i(Dg_i(z_i))^{-1}\}$ if the character χ_i doesn't exist.
When χ_i exists, the representation κ_i of K_i, is given by

$$(\kappa_i(g_i)p)(z_i) = \mu_i(g_i^{-1}, z_i)p(g_i^{-1} \cdot z_i)$$

where $\mu_i(g_i, z_i) = \chi_i((Dg_i(z_i)^{-1})$. In particular

$$\begin{aligned}
(\kappa_i(\tau_{a_i})p)(z_i) &= p(z_i - a_i) \quad (a_i \in V_i), \\
(\kappa_i(\ell_i)p)(z_i) &= \chi_i(\ell_i)p(\ell_i^{-1} \cdot z_i) \quad (\ell_i \in L_i), . \\
(\kappa_i(j)p)(z_i) &= \Delta_i(z_i)p(-z_i^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

And, when χ_i doesn't exist, the representation κ_i is given by

$$\big(\kappa_i(\tilde{g}_i)p\big)(z_i) = \mu_i(\tilde{g}_i^{-1}, z_i)p(\tilde{g}_i^{-1} \cdot z_i)$$

where $\tilde{g}_i = (g_i, \mu_i(z_i))$ and $\mu_i(\tilde{g}_i, z_i) = \mu_i(z_i)$. In particular $(\kappa_i(\sigma_i)p)(z_i) = \Delta_i(z_i)p(-z_i^{-1})$ and $(\kappa_i(\sigma_i^{-1})p)(z_i) = (-1)^{r_i}\Delta_i(z_i)p(-z_i^{-1})$.

Let $\mathcal{W}_i^{(k_i)}$ be the vector space generated by the polynomials $\Delta_i^{k_i}(z_i - a_i)$ for $a_i \in V_i$. Then, the group K_i acts on $\mathcal{W}_i^{(k_i)}$ by the representation $\kappa_i^{(k_i)}$ given by

$$(\kappa_i^{(k_i)}(g_i)p)(z_i) = \mu_i(g_i, z_i)^{k_i} p(g_i^{-1} \cdot z_i).$$

The product group $\widetilde{L} := \prod_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{Str}(V_i, \Delta_i)$ acting on V by $g \cdot z = (g_i \cdot z_i)$ for $g = (g_i)$, and $z = (z_i)$, is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Str}(V, Q)$, they have the same Lie algebra $\mathrm{str}(V, Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{str}(V_i, \Delta_i)$ and $\gamma(g) = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \gamma_i^{k_i}(g_i)$.

The product group $\widetilde{K} := \prod_{i=1}^{s} K_i$ and K have the same Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k} = \sum_{\mathfrak{i}=\mathfrak{1}}^{\mathfrak{s}} \mathfrak{k}_\mathfrak{i}$, where $\mathfrak{k}_i = \mathrm{Lie}(K_i) \simeq V_i \oplus \mathrm{str}(V_i, \Delta_i) \oplus V_i$.

Furthermore, the vector space $\mathfrak{p}$ is the tensor product of the $\mathcal{W}_i^{(k_i)}$ ([A.14], Proposition 1.1) and the tensor product representation $\widetilde{\kappa} := \otimes_{i=1}^{s} \kappa_i^{(k_i)}$ of $\widetilde{K}$ in $\mathfrak{p}$ and the representation κ of K in $\mathfrak{p}$ have the same differential. Then, the structure of simple Lie algebra on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ can be obtained by considering $\widetilde{K}$ (instead of K) and $\widetilde{\kappa}$ (instead of κ).

The orbit Ξ of Q under the group $\widetilde{K} = \prod_{i=1}^{s} K_i$ acting on $\mathfrak{p}$ by the representation $\widetilde{\kappa}$, is a conical variety : for $\xi \in \Xi$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $\lambda\xi$ belongs to Ξ. It is the $\widetilde{K}$-minimal nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{p}$.

Let $\Xi_i = \{\kappa_i(g_i)\Delta_i \mid g_i \in K_i\}$ be the K_i-orbit of Δ_i in $\mathfrak{p}_i$. A polynomial $\xi_i \in \mathcal{W}_i$ can be written

$$\xi_i(v) = w_i \Delta_i(v) + \text{ terms of degree } < r_i \quad (w_i \in \mathbb{C}),$$

and $w_i = w_i(\nu_i)$ is a linear form on $\mathcal{W}_i$ which is semi-invariant under the preimage in K_i of the maximal parabolic subgroup $P_{\max}^{(i)} = L_i \ltimes N_i$, where N_i is the group of translations $z_i \in V_i \mapsto z_i + a_i$, for $a_i \in V_i$. The set $\Xi_{i,0} = \{\xi_i \in \Xi_i \mid w_i(\xi_i) \neq 0\}$ is open and dense in Ξ_i. A polynomial $\xi_i \in \Xi_{i,0}$ can be written $\xi_i(v_i) = w_i \Delta_i(v_i - z_i) \quad (w_i \in \mathbb{C}^*, z_i \in V_i)$. Hence we get a coordinate system $(w_i, z_i) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times V_i$ for $\Xi_{i,0}$.

In this coordinate system, the cocycle action of K_i is given by

$$\kappa_i(g_i) : (w_i, z_i) \mapsto \big(\mu_i(g_i, z_i) w_i, g_i \cdot z_i\big).$$

Define on $\widetilde{\Xi} = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \Xi_i$ the equivalence relation

$$(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s) \sim (\lambda_1 \xi_1, \ldots, \lambda_s \xi_s), \text{ for } (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s) \in \mathbb{C}^s, \lambda_1^{k_1} \ldots \lambda_s^{k_s} = 1.$$

Proposition 1.2— The map $\widetilde{\Xi}/\sim \quad \to \Xi$, $[(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s)] \mapsto \xi_1^{k_1} \ldots \xi_s^{k_s}$ is a diffeomorphism.

The group K_i acts on the space $\mathcal{O}(\Xi_i)$ of holomorphic functions by:

$$\big(\pi_i(g_i)f_i\big)(\xi_i) = f_i\big(\kappa_i(g_i^{-1})\xi_i\big).$$

If $\xi_i(v_i) = w_i\Delta_i(v_i - z_i)$, and $f_i \in \mathcal{O}(\Xi_i)$, we denote by $f_i(\xi_i) = \phi_i(w_i, z_i)$ the restriction of f_i to $\Xi_{i,0}$. Then $\phi_i \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^* \times V_i)$. In the coordinates (w_i, z_i), the representation π_i is given by

$$(\pi_i(g_i)\phi_i)(w_i, z_i) = \phi_i(\mu_i(g_i^{-1}, z_i)w_i, g_i^{-1} \cdot z_i)$$

The group $\widetilde{K}$ acts on the tensor product space $\otimes_{i=1}^s \mathcal{O}(\Xi_i)$ by the tensor product representation $\pi = \otimes_{i=1}^s \pi_i$, which is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\big(\pi(g)(f_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes f_s)\big)(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s) &= \big(\pi_1(g_1)f_1\big) \otimes \ldots \otimes \big(\pi_s(g_s)f_s\big)(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s) \\ &= f_1(\kappa_1(g_1^{-1})\xi_1) \ldots f_s(\kappa_s(g_s^{-1})\xi_s) \quad (g = (g_i))\end{aligned}$$

In the coordinates (w_i, z_i), the representation π is given by

$$(\pi(g)(\phi_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_s))((w_1, z_1), \ldots, (w_s, z_s)) = \prod_{i=1}^s \phi_i(\mu_i(g_i^{-1}, z_i)w_i, g_i^{-1} \cdot z_i).$$

For every $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $\mathcal{O}_{m_i}(\Xi_i)$ the spaces of holomorphic functions f_i on Ξ_i, homogeneous of degree m_i. It is invariant under the representation π_i.

If $f_i \in \mathcal{O}_{m_i}(\Xi_i)$, then its restriction ϕ_i to $\Xi_{i,0}$ can be written $\phi_i(w_i, z_i) = w_i^{m_i}\psi_i(z_i)$ where ψ_i is a holomorphic function on V_i. We write $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{m_i}(V_i)$ for the space of the functions ψ_i corresponding to the functions $f_i \in \mathcal{O}_{m_i}(\Xi_i)$, and denote by $\tilde{\pi}_{i,m_i}$ the representation of K_i on $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{m_i}(V_i)$ corresponding to the restriction π_{i,m_i} of π to $\mathcal{O}_{m_i}(\Xi_i)$. It is given by

$$(\tilde{\pi}_{i,m_i}(g_i)\psi_i)(z_i) = \mu_i(g_i^{-1}, z_i)^{m_i}\psi_i(g_i^{-1} \cdot z_i).$$

In particular,

$$(\tilde{\pi}_{i,m_i}(\sigma_i)\psi_i)(z_i) = \Delta_i^{m_i}(z_i)\psi_i(-z_i^{-1}).$$

Theorem 1.3—
(i) $\mathcal{O}_{m_i}(\Xi_i) = \{0\}$ if $m_i < 0$.
(ii) The space $\mathcal{O}_{m_i}(\Xi_i)$ is finite dimensional, and the representation π_{i,m_i} is irreducible.
(iii) The functions ψ_i in $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{m_i}(V_i)$ are polynomials with degree $\leq m_i r_i$.
(iv) $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{m_i}(V_i) = \{\psi_i \in \mathcal{O}(V_i) \mid \tilde{\pi}_{i,m_i}(\sigma_i)\psi_i \in \mathcal{O}(V_i)\}$.
(v) $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{m_i}(V_i) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{m_i+1}(V_i)$.
(see Theorem 2.1. in [A.16] and Theorem 2.2. in [AF.12]).

For $(m_1,\dots,m_s)\in\mathbb{N}^s$, we denote by $\mathcal{O}_{(m_1,\dots,m_s)}(\widetilde{\Xi})=\otimes_{i=1}^s\mathcal{O}_{m_i}(\Xi_i)$. It is invariant under the tensor product representation $\pi=\otimes_{i=1}^s\pi_i$.

For $f=f_1\otimes\ldots\otimes f_s\in\mathcal{O}_{(m_1,\dots,m_s)}(\widetilde{\Xi})$, let $\phi_i(w_i,z_i)$ be the restriction of f_i to $\Xi_{i,0}$, then $\phi_i(w_i,z_i)=w_i^{m_i}\psi_i(z_i)$ and

$$(\phi_1\otimes\ldots\otimes\phi_s)((w_1,z_1),\dots,(w_s,z_s))=w_1^{m_1}\dots w_s^{m_s}\psi_1(z_1)\dots\psi_s(z_s).$$

We write $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{(m_1,\dots,m_s)}=\otimes_{i=1}^s\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{m_i}(V_i)$ and $\tilde{\pi}_{(m_1,\dots,m_s)}=\otimes_{i=1}^s\tilde{\pi}_{m_i}$. Then

$$\big(\tilde{\pi}_{(m_1,\dots,m_s)}(g)(\psi_1\otimes\ldots\otimes\psi_s)\big)(z_1,\dots,z_s)=\prod_{i=1}^s\mu_i(g_i^{-1},z_i)^{m_i}\psi_i(g_i^{-1}\cdot z_i).$$

For $\sigma=(\sigma_i)$, $\quad(\tilde{\pi}_{(m_1,\dots,m_s)}(\sigma)1)(z_1,\dots,z_s)=\Delta_1^{m_1}(z_1)\dots.\Delta_s^{m_s}(z_s)$.

We consider a Euclidean real form of each V_i, and the compact real form $(K_i)_{\mathbb{R}}$ of K_i analogous of $K_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then $\widetilde{K}_{\mathbb{R}}:=\prod_{i=1}^s(K_i)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a compact real form of $\widetilde{K}$. There is a $(K_i)_{\mathbb{R}}$-invariant norm on $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{m_i}(V_i)$, which is unique up to a positive factor. It is given by

$$\|\psi_i\|_{i,m_i}^2=\frac{1}{a_{i,m_i}}\int_{V_i}|\psi_i(z_i)|^2H_i(z_i)^{-m_i}m_{i,0}(dz_i),$$

with

$$a_{i,m_i}=\int_{V_i}H_i(z_i)^{-m_i}m_{i,0}(dz_i),$$

and there is a $\widetilde{K}_{\mathbb{R}}$-invariant norm on $\mathcal{O}_{(m_1,\dots,m_s)}(\widetilde{\Xi})$, which is unique up to a positive factor, it is given, conformlly to the preceding notation, by

$$\|f\|_{(m_1,\dots,m_s)}^2=\prod_{i=1}^s\|\psi_i\|_{i,m_i}^2$$

where $H_i(z_i)=H_i(z_i,z_i)$, with $H_i(z_i,z_i')$ the polynomial on $V_i\times V_i$, holomorphic in z_i, anti-holomorphic in z_i' such that for $x_i\in\in(V_i)_{\mathbb{R}}$, $H_i(x_i,x_i)=\Delta_i(e_i+x_i^2)$,

$$m_{i,0}(dz_i)=\frac{1}{C_{i,0}}H_i(z_i)^{-2\frac{n_i}{r_i}}m(dz_i),$$

with $n_i=\dim(V_i)$,

$$C_{i,0}=\int_{V_i}H_i(z_i)^{-2\frac{n_i}{r_i}}m(dz_i),$$

the Lebesgue measure $m(dz_i)$ is chosen such that $C_{i,0}=1$ (see [A.16] Proposition 2.3).

The Fock space $\mathcal{F}_q(\widetilde{\Xi})$ is a Hilbert subspace of $\mathcal{O}(\widetilde{\Xi})$ which is $\widetilde{K}$-invariant, it therefore decomposes

$$\mathcal{F}_q(\widetilde{\Xi}) = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_{(k_1 m+q_1,\ldots,k_s m+q_s)}(\widetilde{\Xi}),$$

where $q = (q_1, \ldots, q_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$ is determined in such a way that $\mathcal{F}_q(\widetilde{\Xi})$ carries a representation ρ_q of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ (see [A.14], Theorem 3.4).

The Hilbert norm on $\mathcal{F}_q(\widetilde{\Xi})$ is of the following form : if

$$f = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f_{(k_1 m+q_1,\ldots,k_s m+q_s)} \quad \in \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_{(k_1 m+q_1,\ldots,k_s m+q_s)}(\widetilde{\Xi})),$$

then

$$\|f\|^2_{\mathcal{F}} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_{(k_1 m+q_1,\ldots,k_s m+q_s)}} \|f_{(k_1 m+q_1,\ldots,k_s m+q_s)}\|^2_{(k_1 m+q_1,\ldots,k_s m+q_s)}.$$

The sequence $(c_{(k_1 m+q_1,\ldots,k_s m+q_s)})$ is determined in such a way that the representation ρ_q is unitary (see [A.14], Theorem 4.1 and also, in case $q = 0$, [AF.12], Theorem 6.1).

For $X \in \mathfrak{k}$, $\rho_q(X) = d\pi(X)$. For $X \in \mathfrak{p}$, $\rho_q(X)$ is a differential operator of degree ≤ 4. The representation ρ_q is determined by the operators $\rho_q(E)$ which involves the differential operator $Q(\frac{\partial}{\partial z})$, and $\rho_q(F) = -\rho_q(E)^*$.

For the existence of this representation one has to add a condition (T):

(T) There exists a number η_q such that

$$\eta_q = \frac{q_i}{k_i} + \frac{n_i}{k_i r_i} \quad (\forall i).$$

From now on, we consider the case where $V = \mathbb{C}^{p+1}$ with $p \geq 2$, Q is the square of a quadratic form Δ :

$$\Delta(v) = v_1^2 + \ldots + v_{p+1}^2.$$

In this case, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(p+2, \mathbb{C})$, $s = 1$, $k = 2$, $r = 2$ and $\underline{q} =: q \in \mathbb{N}$. The representations ρ_q exist iff $q = 0$ or $q = 1$ (see [A.16], Theorem 3.4 and Table 2). Then $\eta_q = \frac{q}{2} + \frac{p+1}{4}$. The spaces $\mathcal{F}_0(\widetilde{\Xi})$ and $\mathcal{F}_1(\widetilde{\Xi})$ decompose

$$\mathcal{F}_0(\widetilde{\Xi}) = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_{2m}(\widetilde{\Xi}),$$

$$\mathcal{F}_1(\widetilde{\Xi}) = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_{2m+1}(\widetilde{\Xi}),$$

where the functions f_{2m} in $\mathcal{O}_{2m}(\widetilde{\Xi})$ are, in coordinates, given by:

$$\phi_{2m}(w, z) = w^{2m}\psi(z) \quad (\psi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^{p+1}), w \in \mathbb{C}^*$$

and the functions f_{2m+1} in $\mathcal{O}_{2m+1}(\widetilde{\Xi})$ are, in coordinates, given by:

$$\phi_{2m+1}(w, z) = w^{2m+1}\psi(z) \quad (\psi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{2m+1}(\mathbb{C}^{p+1}), w \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$

The operators $\rho_0(F), \rho_0(E), \rho_0(H)$ are given by

$$\rho_0(F)\phi_{2m}(w, z) = w^{2(m+1)}\psi(z) - \delta_{2(m-1)}w^{2(m-1)}\Delta^2(\frac{\partial}{\partial z})\psi(z),$$

$$\rho_0(E)\phi_{2m}(w, z) = w^{2(m+1)}\Delta^2(z)\psi(z) - \delta_{2(m-1)}w^{2(m-1)}\mathbf{D}^*_{-2m}\psi(z)$$

and

$$\rho_0(H)\phi_{2m} = (\mathcal{E} - 2m)\phi_{2m}$$

and the operators $\rho_1(F), \rho_1(E), \rho_1(H)$ are given by

$$\rho_1(F)\phi_{2m+1}(w, z) = w^{2(m+1)+1}\psi(z) - \delta_{2(m-1)+1}w^{2(m-1)+1}\Delta^2(\frac{\partial}{\partial z})\psi(z),$$

$$\rho_1(E)\phi_{2m+1}(w, z) = w^{2(m+1)}\Delta^2(z)\psi(z) - \delta_{2(m-1)+1}w^{2(m-1)+1}\mathbf{D}^*_{-2m-1}\psi(z)$$

and

$$\rho_1(H)\phi_{2m+1} = (\mathcal{E} - 2m - 1)\phi_{2m+1}$$

where $\mathcal{E}$ is the Euler operator

$$\mathcal{E}\phi(w,z) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0}\phi(w,e^t z),$$

and $\mathbf{D}_\alpha$ is the Maass operator

$$\mathbf{D}_\alpha = \Delta(z)^{1+\alpha}\Delta(\frac{\partial}{\partial z})\Delta(z)^{-\alpha},$$

and

$$D^* = J \circ D \circ J \text{ with } Jf(z) = f(-z^{-1}).$$

and where the sequences (δ_{2m}) and (δ_{2m+1}), determined in [A14] Theorem 3.4, are given, in our case, by

$$\delta_{2m} = \frac{1}{16(m+\eta_0)(m+\eta_0+1)} \quad (\forall m \neq 0),$$

$$\delta_{2m+1} = \frac{1}{16(m+\eta_0)(m+\eta_0+1)} \quad (\forall m \neq 0)$$

and

$$\delta_0 = 1, \delta_1 = \frac{1}{4}.$$

2. Some harmonic analysis related to a quadratic form

We consider on $V = \mathbb{C}^p$ the quadratic form

$$\Delta(x) = x_1^2 + \ldots + x_p^2.$$

Then the structure group is

$$\mathrm{Str}(V,\Delta) = \mathbb{C}^* \times O(p,\mathbb{C})$$

(quotiented by $\{(1,I_p),(-1,-I_p)\}$). The conformal group $\mathrm{Conf}(V,\Delta)$, generated by the translations, the structure group, and the inversion

$$j(z) = -\frac{z}{\Delta(z)},$$

is a complex Lie group isomorphic to $O(p+2,\mathbb{C})$. The vector space $\mathcal{W}$ generated by the translated $\Delta(x-z)$ $(z \in V)$ of the quadratic form Δ,

$$\Delta(x-z) = \Delta(x) - 2\sum_{j=1}^{p} a_j x_j + \Delta(z),$$

has dimension $p+2$. We consider the following basis $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{W}$:

$$e_j(x) = x_j (1 \leq j \leq p), e_{p+1}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta(x) - 1), e_{p+2}(x) = \frac{i}{2}(\Delta(x) + 1).$$

We write an element $\xi \in \mathcal{W}$ as

$$\xi(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{p+2} \xi_j e_j(x).$$

In particular

$$\Delta = e_{p+1} - ie_{p+2}, 1 = -e_{p+1} - ie_{p+2}.$$

For $g \in \mathrm{Conf}(V, \Delta)$ the matrix of $\kappa(g)$ with respect to this basis belongs to $O(p+2, \mathbb{C})$, and this defines an isomorphism from $\mathrm{Conf}(V, \Delta)$ onto $O(p+2, \mathbb{C})$. In particular, for a dilation with ratio $\lambda = e^{i\alpha}$, we get the rotation matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\alpha) & \sin(\alpha) \\ 0 & -\sin(\alpha) & \cos(\alpha) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The orbit Ξ of Δ under $\mathrm{Conf}(V, \Delta)$ is isomorphic to the isotropic cone

$$\Xi = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C}^{p+2} \mid \sum_{j=1}^{p+2} \xi_j^2 = 0, \xi \neq 0\}.$$

It is of dimension $p+1$, isomorphic to the homogeneous space

$$O(p+2, \mathbb{C})/O(p, \mathbb{C}) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^p.$$

An element ξ in $\mathcal{W}$ is a polynomial of degree ≤ 2 which can be written

$$\xi(v) = w\Delta(v) + \text{ lower order terms.}$$

Hence, $\xi \mapsto w(\xi)$ is a linear form on $\mathcal{W}$. The set

$$\Xi_0 = \{\xi \in \Xi \mid w(\xi) \neq 0\}$$

is open and dense in Ξ, and

$$w(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_{p+1} + i\xi_{p+2}).$$

An element $\xi \in \Xi_0$ can be written

$$\xi(v) = w\Delta(v - z),$$

with $w \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $z \in V$, and the map $\mathbb{C}^* \times V \to \Xi_0, (w, z) \mapsto \xi(v) = w\Delta(v-z)$ is a diffeomorphism.

Recall that, for $m \geq 0$, $\mathcal{O}_m(\Xi)$ denotes the space of holomorphic functions on Ξ which are homogeneous of degree m. It is isomorphic to the quotient $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{p+2})/\Delta\mathcal{P}_{m-2}(\mathbb{C}^{p+2})$, where $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{p+2})$ denotes the space of polynomials in $p+2$ variables which are homogeneous of degree m. The restriction to Ξ_0 of a polynomial $f \in \mathcal{O}_m(\Xi)$ can be written

$$f(\xi) = \phi(w, z) = w^m \psi(z),$$

where ψ is a polynomial on V of degree $\leq 2m$. We get an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_m(\Xi)$ to the space $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_m(V)$ of polynomials ψ on V such that

$$\int_V |\psi(z)|^2 H(z)^{-m-p} m(dz) < \infty,$$

where

$$H(z) = 1 + 2\sum_{j=1}^{p} |z_j|^2 + |\Delta(z)|^2.$$

($m(dz)$ is the Lebesgue measure on V). The Jordan algebra $V = \mathbb{C}^p$ is of rank $r = 2$. Then, the norm on $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_m(V)$ is given by

$$\|\psi\|_m^2 = \frac{1}{a_m} \int_V |\psi(z)|^2 H(z)^{-m-p} m(dz),$$

with

$$a_m = \int_V H(z)^{-m-p} m(dz).$$

Let $\mathcal{Y}_m(\mathbb{R}^{p+2})$ be the space of spherical harmonics of degree m on $\mathbb{R}^{p+2}$: harmonic polynomials which are homogeneous of degree m on $\mathbb{R}^{p+2}$. The map

$$\mathcal{Y}_m(\mathbb{R}^{p+2}) \to \mathcal{O}_m(\Xi), \Phi \mapsto f,$$

given by

$$f(\xi) = \int_S <\xi, x>^m \Phi(x) s(dx),$$

where

$$<\xi, x> = \sum_{j=1}^{p+2} \xi_j x_j,$$

is an isomorphism which intertwins the representations of $O(p+2)$ on both spaces. (S is the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{p+2}$, and $s(dx)$ is the uniform measure on S with total measure equal to one, see [F.15], section 2).

For a holomorphic function f on $\mathbb{C}$ we define the integral operator A from $\mathcal{C}(S)$ into $\mathcal{O}(\Xi)$:

$$Au(\xi) = \int_S f(<\xi, x>)u(x)s(dx).$$

The operator A is equivariant with respect to the action of $O(p+2)$ and maps $\mathcal{Y}_m(\mathbb{R}^{p+2})$ into $\mathcal{O}_m(\Xi)$.

We consider now the case of the square of a quadratic form: $V = \mathbb{C}^p$, and $Q(z) = \Delta^2(z)$, where

$$\Delta(z) = z_1^2 + \ldots + z_p^2.$$

Then the vector space $\mathcal{W}^{(2)}$ generated by the translated $\Delta^2(x-z)$ with $(z \in V)$ of the polynomial $Q = \Delta^2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^2(x-z) &= (\Delta(x) - 2\sum_{j=1}^{p} x_j z_j + \Delta(z))^2 \\ &= \Delta^2(x) + \Delta^2(z) + 4 < x, z >^2 \\ &+ 2\Delta(x)\Delta(z) - 4 < x, z > \Delta(z) - 4 < x, z > \Delta(x)\end{aligned}$$

has dimension $\frac{(p+4)(p+1)}{2}$. We consider the following basis $\mathcal{B}^{(2)}$ of $\mathcal{W}^{(2)}$:

$$e_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta^2(x) - 1), \quad \tilde{e}_0 = \frac{i}{2}(\Delta^2(x) + 1),$$

$$e_j(x) = x_j^2 \quad (1 \leq j \leq p) \quad e_{jk}(x) = x_j x_k \quad (1 \leq j < k \leq p),$$

$$e_j(x) = x_{j-p} \quad (p+1 \leq j \leq 2p), \quad e_j(x) = x_{j-2p}^3 \quad (2p+1 \leq j \leq 3p).$$

We write $\xi \in \mathcal{W}^{(2)}$ as

$$\xi(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{3p} \xi_j e_j(x) + \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq p} \xi_{jk} e_{jk}(x) + \xi_0 e_0(x) + \tilde{\xi}_0 \tilde{e}_0(x).$$

For $\xi(x) = wQ(x-z)$, one has

$$\xi_0 = w(1 - Q(z)), \tilde{\xi}_0 = w(-i - iQ(z)),$$

$$\xi_j = 2w\Delta(z) + 4wz_j^2 \quad (1 \leq j \leq p), \xi_{jk} = 8wz_j z_k \quad (1 \leq j < k \leq p),$$

$$\xi_j = -4w\Delta(z)z_{j-p} \quad (p+1 \leq j \leq 2p), \xi_j = -4wz_{j-2p} \quad (2p+1 \leq j \leq 3p).$$

In particular,

$$Q = e_0 - i\tilde{e}_0, \quad 1 = -e_0 - i\tilde{e}_0.$$

Denote by $\Xi^{(2)}$ the orbit of $Q = \Delta^2$ under the action of the representation $\kappa^{(2)}$. By Proposition 1.2, $\Xi/\{\pm 1\} \simeq \Xi^{(2)}$. In coordinates, the open and dense subset Ξ_0 (resp. $\Xi_0^{(2)}$) of Ξ (resp. $\Xi^{(2)}$) is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^p$ (resp. $(\mathbb{C}^*/\{\pm 1\}) \times \mathbb{C}^p$). An element $\xi \in \Xi_0^{(2)}$ can be written

$$\xi(v) = w\Delta^2(v - z)$$

with

$$w = w(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_0 + i\tilde{\xi}_0).$$

One has $\mathcal{O}_m(\Xi^{(2)}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{2m}(\Xi)$, and, formally, $\mathcal{O}_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(\Xi^{(2)}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{2m+1}(\Xi)$, then $\mathcal{F}_0(\Xi) = \mathcal{F}(\Xi^{(2)})$ consists in functions on the orbit $\Xi^{(2)}$, but the space $\mathcal{F}_1(\Xi)$ does not.

3. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and its isomorphism with $\mathfrak{sl}(p+2, \mathbb{C})$

From the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Conf}(V, \Delta) \to O(p+2, \mathbb{C})$$

we get an isomorphism from the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$ of $\mathrm{Conf}(V, Q)$ onto the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}(p+2, \mathbb{C})$. Using the basis $\mathcal{B}^{(2)}$ of $\mathcal{W}^{(2)}$, we define an isomorphism from $\mathfrak{p} = \mathcal{W}^{(2)}$ onto $\{X \in \mathrm{Sym}(p+2, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{tr}(X) = 0\}$, given by

$$\xi \mapsto \mu(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(\xi) & \beta(\xi) \\ \beta(\xi)^t & \delta(\xi) \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\alpha(\xi) = \frac{1}{4}\begin{pmatrix} \xi_{11} & \xi_{12} & \cdots & \xi_{1p} \\ \xi_{12} & \xi_{22} & \cdots & \xi_{2p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ \xi_{1p} & \xi_{2p} & \cdots & \xi_{pp} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\xi_{jj} = 2\xi_j, 1 \leq j \leq p),$$

$$\beta(\xi) = -\frac{1}{4}\begin{pmatrix} \xi_{p+1} & \xi_{2p+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \xi_{2p} & \xi_{3p} \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\delta(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_0 & \tilde{\xi}_0 \\ \tilde{\xi}_0 & -\xi_0 - \mathrm{tr}(\alpha(\xi)) \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can notice that

$$\mathfrak{k} = \{X \in \mathfrak{sl}(p+2, \mathbb{C}), X^t = -X\}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{p} = \{X \in \mathfrak{sl}(p+2, \mathbb{C}), X^t = X\}.$$

In particular, for $\xi(x) = Q(x-z)$, one has

$$\alpha(\xi) = 2zz^t + \Delta(z)I_p =: a(z) \quad (\xi_{jj} = 2\xi_j, 1 \leq j \leq p),$$

$$\beta(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta(z)z_1 & z_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \Delta(z)z_p & z_p \end{pmatrix} =: b(z),$$

and

$$\delta(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - Q(z) & -i - iQ(z) \\ -i - iQ(z) & -1 + Q(z) + (p-2)\Delta(z) \end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix} d_0(z) & \tilde{d}_0(z) \\ \tilde{d}_0(z) & -d_0(z) + (p-2)\Delta(z) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the orbit $\Xi^{(2)}$ which we recall has dimension $p+1$, consists in the matrices

$$m(z) = \begin{pmatrix} a(z) & b(z) \\ b(z)^t & d \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$d = \begin{pmatrix} d_0 & -2i + id_0 \\ -2i + id_0 & -d_0 + (p-2)\Delta(z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^p, d_0 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

In the isomorphisms described above, the images of the elements of the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$-triple $\{H, E, F\}$ are the following matrices

$$H \mapsto \widetilde{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{pp} & & \\ & 0 & i \\ & -i & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$E \mapsto \widetilde{E} = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{pp} & & \\ & 1 & -i \\ & -i & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$F \mapsto \widetilde{F} = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{pp} & & \\ & 1 & i \\ & i & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One checks that $\{\tilde{H}, \tilde{E}, \tilde{F}\}$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$-triple:

$$[\tilde{H}, \tilde{E}] = 2\tilde{E}, \quad [\tilde{H}, \tilde{F}] = -2\tilde{F}, \quad [\tilde{E}, \tilde{F}] = \tilde{H}.$$

By using Theorem 1.1, this proves that we have obtained an explicit Lie algebra isomorphism from $\mathfrak{g}$ onto $\mathfrak{sl}(p+2, \mathbb{C})$.

4. The analogue of the Kobayashi-Orsted model

Let Γ be the open cone in $\mathbb{R}^{p+2}$:

$$\Gamma = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{p+2} \mid |x| \neq 0\},$$

and S^{p+1} be the unit sphere

$$S^{p+1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{p+2} : |x| = 1\}$$

The group $G_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathrm{SL}(p+2, \mathbb{R})$ acts on $\mathbb{R}^{p+2}$ by the natural representation, denoted by $L_g : x \mapsto gx$ ($g \in G_{\mathbb{R}}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{p+2}$). This action stabilizes the cone Γ. The multiplicative group $\mathbb{R}_+^*$ acts on Γ as a dilation and the quotient space $M = \Gamma/\mathbb{R}_+^*$ is identified with S. This defines an action of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ on S, which leads to a $G_{\mathbb{R}}$-equivariant principal $\mathbb{R}_+^*$-bundle:

$$\Phi : \Gamma \to S, x \mapsto \frac{x}{|x|}.$$

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, let $\mathcal{E}_\lambda(\Gamma)$ be the space of $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-functions on Γ homogeneous of degree λ:

$$\mathcal{E}_\lambda(\Gamma) = \{u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Gamma) \mid u(tx) = t^\lambda u(x), \quad x \in \Gamma, t > 0\}.$$

The group $\mathrm{SL}(p+2, \mathbb{R})$ acts naturally on $\mathcal{E}_\lambda(\Gamma)$, and, under the action of the subgroup $O(p+2)$, the space $\mathcal{E}_\lambda(\Gamma)$ decomposes as:

$$\mathcal{E}_\lambda(\Gamma) \mid_S \simeq \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Y}_m(\mathbb{R}^{p+2}).$$

Furthermore, for $\epsilon = \pm 1$, we put

$$\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\epsilon}(\Gamma) := \{u \in \mathcal{E}_\lambda(\Gamma) : u(-x) = \epsilon \cdot u(x), \quad x \in \Gamma\}.$$

Then we have a direct sum decomposition into two $G_{\mathbb{R}}$-invariant subspaces:

$$\mathcal{E}_\lambda(\Gamma) = \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,1}(\Gamma) + \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,-1}(\Gamma)$$

and, under the action of the subgroup $O(p+2)$, each of them decomposes

$$\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,+1}(\Gamma)\mid_S \simeq \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Y}_{2m}(\mathbb{R}^{p+2})$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,-1}(\Gamma)\mid_S \simeq \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Y}_{2m+1}(\mathbb{R}^{p+2}).$$

For $\lambda = \lambda_0 = -(p+2)$, we denote by:

$$\mathcal{V}_0(\Gamma) = \mathcal{E}_{\lambda_0,+1}, \quad \mathcal{V}_1(\Gamma) = \mathcal{E}_{\lambda_0,-1}$$

and by ω_0 and ω_1 the restrictions to $\mathcal{V}_0(\Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{V}_1(\Gamma)$ of the natural action

$$(\omega(g)u)(x) := u(g^{-1}x).$$

Lemma 4.1— For $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda_0}(\Gamma)$,

$$-(d\omega)(\widetilde{E})u)(x) = (x_{p+1} - ix_{p+2})\Big(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_{p+1}} - i\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_{p+2}}\Big),$$

$$-(d\omega)(\widetilde{F})u)(x) = (x_{p+1} + ix_{p+2})\Big(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_{p+1}} + i\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_{p+2}}\Big).$$

Proof. For $M \in \mathfrak{sl}(p+2,\mathbb{C})$ and, for $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda_0}(\Gamma)$,

$$(d\omega(M)u)(x) = \frac{d}{dt}_{\mid_{t=0}} u(\exp(tM)\cdot(x) = -Du(x)(Mx),$$

where D denotes the differential of the function u. For the matrix $M = F_0$ introduced in section 3 we get the formula of the lemma. □

Proposition 4.2— Consider the functions $U_{2m} \in \mathcal{V}_0(\Gamma)$, $U_{2m+1} \in \mathcal{V}_1(\Gamma)$ defined by

$$U_{2m}(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^{2m+p+2}}(x_{p+1} + ix_{p+2})^{2m},$$

$$U_{2m+1}(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^{2m+1+p+2}}(x_{p+1} + ix_{p+2})^{2m+1}.$$

Then

$$d\omega_0(\widetilde{E})U_{2m} = (2m - p - 2)U_{2(m-1)},$$

$$d\omega_1(\widetilde{E})U_{2m+1} = (2m + 1 - p - 2)U_{2(m-1)+1}$$

and

$$d\omega_0(\widetilde{F})U_{2m} = (2m + p + 2)U_{2(m+1)},$$

$$d\omega_1(\widetilde{F})U_{2m+1} = (2m + 1 + p + 2)U_{2(m+1)+1}.$$

Proof. One writes

$$U_\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^\lambda}(x_{p+1} + ix_{p+2})^\lambda.$$

and uses the formula

$$\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{p+1}} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{p+2}}\Big)(x_{p+1} + ix_{p+2})^\lambda = 0,$$

and

$$\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{p+1}} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{p+2}}\Big)|x|^\lambda = \lambda|x|^{\lambda-2}(x_{p+1} + ix_{p+2}).$$

Theorem 4.3—
1) (Irreducibility) The representations $(\omega_0, \mathcal{V}_0(\Gamma))$ and $(\omega_1, \mathcal{V}_1(\Gamma))$ of $\mathrm{SL}(p+2, \mathbb{R})$ are irreducible.
2) (K-type decomposition)The underlying $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-modules, $(\omega_0)_K$ and $(\omega_1)_K$, for $K = O(p+2, \mathbb{C})$, have the following K-type formulas

$$(\omega_0)_K = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Y}_{2m}(\mathbb{R}^{p+2}), \quad (\omega_1)_K = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Y}_{2m+1}(\mathbb{R}^{p+2}).$$

3) (Unitarity) The representations ω_0 and ω_1 of $\mathrm{SL}(p+2, \mathbb{R})$ on $\mathcal{V}_0(\Gamma)$ and respectively $\mathcal{V}_1(\Gamma)$ are unitary for the Hilbert norms on $\mathcal{V}_0(\Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{V}_1(\Gamma)$ defined as follows: if

$$u^{(0)}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|x|^{2m+p+2}} u_{2m}(x), \quad u^{(1)}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|x|^{2m+1+p+2}} u_{2m+1}(x),$$

with $u_{2m} \in \mathcal{Y}_{2m}(\mathbb{R}^{p+2})$, $u_{2m+1} \in \mathcal{Y}_{2m+1}(\mathbb{R}^{p+2})$, then

$$\|u^{(0)}\|^2_{\mathcal{V}_0} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{2m}} \int_S |u_{2m}(x)|^2 s(dx),$$

$$\|u^{(1)}\|^2_{\mathcal{V}_1} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{2m}} \int_S |u_{2m+1}(x)|^2 s(dx)$$

Proof.

1) In fact, let $\mathcal{U}_0$ be an invariant subspace of $\mathcal{V}_0$ for ω_0 and $\mathcal{U}_1$ an invariant subspace of $\mathcal{V}_1$ for ω_1 and assume that $\mathcal{U}_0 \neq \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{U}_1 \neq \{0\}$. Since $\mathcal{U}_0$ and $\mathcal{U}_1$-invariant, and since the subspaces $\mathcal{Y}_{2m}(\mathbb{R}^{p+2})$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{2m+1}(\mathbb{R}^{p+2})$ are irreducible for the restriction representations $(\omega_0)_K$ and $(\omega_1)_K$ respectively, then there exist $\mathcal{I}_0, \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{I}_1 \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that

$\mathcal{U}_0 = \sum_{m\in\mathcal{I}_0} \mathcal{Y}_{2m}$ and $\mathcal{U}_1 = \sum_{m\in\mathcal{I}_1} \mathcal{Y}_{2m+1}$. Furthermore, since $d\omega_0(\tilde{F})(U_{2m}) = (2m+p+2)U_{2(m+1)}$ and $d\omega_1(\tilde{F})(U_{2m+1}) = (2m+p+3)U_{2(m+1)+1}$, then $d\omega_0(\tilde{F})(\mathcal{Y}_{2m}) = \mathcal{Y}_{2(m+1)}$ and $d\omega_1(\tilde{F})(\mathcal{Y}_{2m+1}) = \mathcal{Y}_{2(m+1)+1}$, which means that if m belongs to $\mathcal{I}_0$ then so does $m+1$ and if m' belongs to $\mathcal{I}_1$ then so does $m'+1$. Moreover, since $d\omega_0(\widetilde{E})U_{2m} = (2m-p-2)U_{2(m-1)}$ and $d\omega_1(\widetilde{E})U_{2m+1} = (2m+1-p-2)U_{2(m-1)+1}$ then $d\omega_0(\tilde{E})(\mathcal{Y}_{2m}) = \mathcal{Y}_{2(m-1)}$ and $d\omega_1(\tilde{E})(\mathcal{Y}_{2m+1}) = \mathcal{Y}_{2(m-1)+1}$, which means that if m belongs to $\mathcal{I}_0$ then so does $m-1$ and if m' belongs to $\mathcal{I}_1$ then so does $m'-1$. It follows that $\mathcal{I}_0 = \mathcal{I}_1 = \mathbb{N}$, i.e. $\mathcal{U}_0 = \mathcal{V}_0$ and $\mathcal{U}_1 = \mathcal{V}_1$.
2) This follows from the decomposition to $K_{\mathbb{R}}$-irreducible spaces:

$$L^2(S^{p+1}) \simeq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Y}_k(\mathbb{R}^{p+2}).$$

3) Since the inner products on $\mathcal{V}_0$ and $\mathcal{V}_1$ are $K_{\mathbb{R}}$-unitary, then the inner products of $\mathcal{V}_0$ and $\mathcal{V}_1$ are $\mathfrak{sl}(p+2,\mathbb{R})$-invariant if and only if, for every $X \in \mathfrak{p}$,

$$d\omega(X)^* = -d\omega(\bar{X})$$

But this is equivalent to the single condition

$$d\omega(\tilde{E})^* = -d\omega(\tilde{F})$$

In fact, assume these conditions are satisfied. Then, for $X = g\tilde{E}g^{-1}$ $(g \in K_{\mathbb{R}})$,

$$d\omega(X) = \omega(g)d\omega(\tilde{E})\omega(g)^{-1},$$

$$d\omega(X)^* = -\omega(g^{-1})^* d\omega(\tilde{F})\omega(g)^* = -\omega(g)d\omega(\tilde{F})\omega(g)^{-1} = -d\omega(\bar{X}).$$

It remains to check that for every $u, u' \in \mathcal{V}$, one has

$$< d\omega(\tilde{E})u, u' >_{\mathcal{V}} = - < u, d\omega(\tilde{F})u' >_{\mathcal{V}}$$

which is equivalent to check that for every $u_k \in \mathcal{Y}_k, v_{k'} \in \mathcal{Y}_{k'}$, one has

$$< d\omega(\tilde{E})u_k, v_{k'} >_{\mathcal{V}} = - < u_k, d\omega(\tilde{F})v_{k'} >_{\mathcal{V}} .$$

In fact, for every $u_k \in \mathcal{Y}_k, v_{k'} \in \mathcal{Y}_{k'}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&<d\omega(\tilde{E})u_k, v_{k'}>_{\mathcal{V}} = c_{k,k'}\int_S (x_{p+1}-ix_{p+2})(\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_{p+1}} - i\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_{p+2}})\overline{v_{k'}(x)}s(dx)\\
&= c_{k,k'}\int_S (\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_{p+1}} - i\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_{p+2}})\overline{(x_{p+1}+ix_{p+2})v_{k'}(x)}s(dx)\\
&= -c_{k,k'}\int_S u_k(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{p+1}}\overline{(x_{p+1}+ix_{p+2})v_{k'}(x)}s(dx)\\
&+ c_{k,k'}\int_S iu_k(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{p+2}}\overline{(x_{p+1}+ix_{p+2})v_{k'}(x)}s(dx)\\
&= -c_{k,k'}\int_S u_k(x)\overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{p+1}}(x_{p+1}+ix_{p+2})v_{k'}(x)}\\
&+ c_{k,k'}\int_S iu_k(x)\overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{p+2}}(x_{p+1}+ix_{p+2})v_{k'}(x)}s(dx)\\
&= -c_{k,k'}\int_S u_k(x)(\overline{u_{k'}} + \overline{(x_{p+1}+ix_{p+2})\frac{\partial u_{k'}}{\partial x_{p+1}}}s(dx)\\
&+ c_{k,k'}\int_S u_k(x)(\overline{v_{k'}(x)} + \overline{i(x_{p+1}+ix_{p+2})\frac{\partial v_{k'}}{\partial x_{p+2}}}s(dx)\\
&= -c_{k,k'}\int_S u_k(x)\Big(\overline{(x_{p+1}+ix_{p+2})\frac{\partial v_{k'}}{\partial x_{p+1}}} - \overline{i(x_{p+1}+ix_{p+2})\frac{\partial v_{k'}}{\partial x_{p+2}}}\Big)s(dx)\\
&= -c_{k,k'}\int_S u_k(x)\overline{(x_{p+1}+ix_{p+2})\Big(\frac{\partial v_{k'}}{\partial x_{p+1}} + i\frac{\partial v_{k'}}{\partial x_{p+2}}\Big)}s(dx)\\
&= -<u_k, d\omega(\tilde{F})u_{k'}>_{\mathcal{V}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{k,k'}$ is a constant. □

Observe that the scalar products on $\mathcal{V}_0(\Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{V}_1(\Gamma)$ are given by

$$<u^{(0)}, v^{(0)}>_{\mathcal{V}_0} = \int_S D_p^{(0)}u^{(0)}(x)\overline{D_p^{(0)}v^{(0)}(x)}s(dx)$$

and

$$<u^{(1)}, v^{(1)}>_{\mathcal{V}_1} = \int_S D_p u^{(1)}(x)\overline{D_p v^{(1)}(x)}s(dx)$$

where $D_p^{(0)}$ and $D_p^{(1)}$ are the diagonal operators given by

$$(D_p^{(0)}u^{(0)})(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2^m|x|^{2m+p+2}}u_{2m}(x)$$

and

$$(D_p^{(1)}u^{(1)})(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2^m|x|^{2m+1+p+2}}u_{2m+1}(x).$$

5. The intertwining operator

Define the functions $b^{(0)}$ and $b^{(1)}$ in one complex variable by

$$b^{(0)}(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_{2m} t^{2m}$$

and

$$b^{(1)}(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_{2m+1} t^{2m+1}$$

and the integral operators $\mathcal{B}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_1$: for $x \in S$, $\xi = m(z) \in \Xi^{(2)}$,

$$(\mathcal{B}_0 u)(\xi) = \int_S b^{(0)}(< z, x >) u(x) s(dx)$$

and

$$(\mathcal{B}_1 u)(\xi) = \int_S b^{(1)}(< z, x >) u(x) s(dx).$$

The operators $\mathcal{B}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_1$ map $\mathcal{C}^\infty(S)$ into $\mathcal{O}(\Xi^{(2)})$, are $O(p+2)$-equivariant, and map $\mathcal{Y}_{2m}(\mathbb{R}^{p+2})$ onto $\mathcal{O}_{2m}(\Xi^{(2)})$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{2m+1}(\mathbb{R}^{p+2})$ onto $\mathcal{O}_{2m+1}(\Xi^{(2)})$

Theorem 5.1— For

$$b_{2m} = \frac{(\frac{p}{2}+1)_{2m}}{2^m (\frac{p}{2}+1)_m (2m)!}$$

and

$$b_{2m+1} = \frac{(\frac{p}{2})_{2m+1}}{2^m (\frac{p}{2}+\frac{3}{2})_m (2m+1)!}$$

the operator $\mathcal{B}_0$ intertwins the representations $d\omega_0$ and ρ_0, and the operator $\mathcal{B}_1$ intertwins the representations $d\omega_1$ and ρ_1, and they are unitary.

Proof. a) Consider the functions

$$f_{2m}(\xi) = \Big(\frac{\xi_0 + i\tilde{\xi}_0}{2} \Big)^{2m}$$

and

$$f_{2m+1}(\xi) = \Big(\frac{\xi_0 + i\tilde{\xi}_0}{2} \Big)^{2m+1}$$

which correspond, in coordinates, to the functions

$$\Phi_{2m}(w,z) = w^{2m} \text{ and } \Phi_{2m+1}(w,z) = w^{2m+1}.$$

Then

$$\rho_0(F)f_{2m} = f_{2(m+1)}$$

and

$$\rho_1(F)f_{2m+1} = f_{2(m+1)+1}$$

Furthermore, for $\xi = m(z)$ (the matrix given in section 3),

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{B}_0 U_{2m})(\xi) &= b_{2m}\int_S < z, x >^{2m} (x_{p+1} + ix_{p+2})^{2m} s(dx) \\ &= b_{2m}(2m)!\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2}+1+2m\right)} f_{2m}(\xi) \\ &= \beta_{2m} f_{2m}(\xi).\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{B}_1 U_{2m+1})(\xi) &= b_{2m+1}\int_S < z, x >^{2m+1} (x_{p+1} + ix_{p+2})^{2m+1} s(dx) \\ &= b_{2m+1}(2m+1)!\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2}+2+2m\right)} f_{2m+1}(\xi) \\ &= \beta_{2m+1} f_{2m+1}(\xi)\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\beta_{2m} = b_{2m}(2m)!\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2}+1+2m\right)}$$

and

$$\beta_{2m+1} = b_{2m+1}(2m+1)!\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2}+2+2m\right)}.$$

The intertwining relations

$$\mathcal{B}_0 d\omega_0(F_0)U_{2m} = \rho_0(F)\mathcal{B}_0 U_{2m}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_1 d\omega_1(F_0)U_{2m+1} = \rho_0(F)\mathcal{B}_1 U_{2m+1}$$

give respectively the conditions

$$(2m+p+2)\beta_{2(m+1)} = \beta_{2m}$$

and

$$(2m+1+p+2)\beta_{2(m+1)+1} = \beta_{2m+1}$$

and if we fix $\beta_0 = 1$ and $\beta_1 = 1$, then

$$\beta_{2m} = \frac{1}{2^m(\frac{p}{4}+\frac{1}{2})_m}, \quad \beta_{2m+1} = \frac{1}{2^m(\frac{p}{4}+1)_m}.$$

b) We will check that, for $m \geq 0$,

$$\|\mathcal{B}_0 U_{2m}\|_{\mathcal{F}} = \|U_{2m}\|_{\mathcal{V}_0}$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{B}_1 U_{2m+1}\|_{\mathcal{F}} = \|U_{2m+1}\|_{\mathcal{V}_1}.$$

In fact, the norm of $u_\lambda(x) = (x_{p+1} + ix_{p+2})^\lambda$ in $L^2(S)$ is given (see [F.15], section 4) by the integral

$$I_\lambda := \int_S (x_{p+1} + x_{p+2}^2)^\lambda s(dx) = \lambda! \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p}{2}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{p}{2}+1+\lambda)}.$$

Therefore, the norm of U_{2m} in $\mathcal{V}_0(\Gamma)$ and the norm of U_{2m+1} in $\mathcal{V}_1(\Gamma)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\|U_{2m}\|^2_{\mathcal{V}_0} &= \frac{1}{2^{2m}}(2m)! \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p}{2}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{p}{2}+1+2m)} \\ &= \frac{(2m)!}{2^{2m}(\frac{p}{2}+1)_{2m}} = \frac{(2m)!}{2^{4m}(\frac{p}{4}+\frac{1}{2})_m(\frac{p}{4}+1)_m}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|U_{2m+1}\|^2_{\mathcal{V}_1} &= \frac{1}{2^{2m}}(2m+1)! \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p}{2}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{p}{2}+2+2m)} \\ &= \frac{(2m+1)!}{2^{2m}(\frac{p}{2}+1)_{2m+1}} = \frac{(2m+1)!}{2^{4m}(\frac{p}{4}+1)_m(\frac{p}{4}+\frac{3}{2})_m}\end{aligned}.$$

The norm of f_{2m} in the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_0(\Xi^{(2)})$ and the norm of f_{2m+1} in the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_1(\Xi^{(2)})$ are given (see [A14], Theorem 4.1) by

$$\|f_{2m}\|^2_{\mathcal{F}_0} = \frac{1}{c_{2m}}$$

and

$$\|f_{2m+1}\|^2_{\mathcal{F}_1} = \frac{1}{c_{2m+1}}$$

with

$$c_{2m} = \frac{(\frac{p}{4}+1)_m}{(\frac{p}{4}+\frac{1}{2})_m(\frac{1}{2})_m}\frac{1}{m!}$$

and

$$c_{2m+1} = \frac{(\frac{p}{4}+\frac{3}{2})_m}{(\frac{p}{4}+1)_m(\frac{3}{2})_m}\frac{1}{m!}.$$

In fact, the sequence (c_m) is given in Theorem 4.1, table 3 and table 4 in [A.14]

$$c_{2m} = \frac{(\eta_0+1)_m}{(\eta_0+\frac{1}{2})_m(\frac{1}{2})_m}\frac{1}{m!}$$

and

$$c_{2m+1} = \frac{(\eta_1+1)_m}{(\eta_1+\frac{1}{2})_m(\frac{3}{2})_m}\frac{1}{m!}.$$

In our case,

$$\eta_0 = \frac{p}{4}, \quad a_0 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad b_0 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{p}{4}$$

and

$$\eta_1 = \frac{p}{4} + \frac{1}{2}, \quad a_0 = a_0' = \frac{1}{2}, \quad b_0 = b_0' = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{p}{4}$$

then

$$c_{2m} = \frac{(\frac{p}{4}+1)_m}{(\frac{p}{4}+\frac{1}{2})_m(\frac{1}{2})_m}\frac{1}{m!}$$

and

$$c_{2m+1} = \frac{(\frac{p}{4}+\frac{3}{2})_m}{(\frac{p}{4}+1)_m(\frac{3}{2})_m}\frac{1}{m!}.$$

(one has to check that, in our case, $1-\eta_0$ is a root of the Bernstein polynomial but $1-\eta_1$ isn't).

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{B}_0 U_{2m}\|^2_{\mathcal{F}_0} &= (\beta_{2m})^2 \|f_{2m}\|^2_{\mathcal{F}_0} = \frac{(\beta_{2m})^2}{c_{2m}} \\ &= \frac{\beta^2(\frac{p}{4}+\frac{1}{2})_m(\frac{1}{2})_m m!}{2^{2m}(\frac{p}{4}+\frac{1}{2})^2_m(\frac{p}{4}+1)_m} \\ &= \frac{\beta^2(\frac{p}{4}+\frac{1}{2})_m(2m)!}{2^{4m}(\frac{p}{4}+\frac{1}{2})^2_m(\frac{p}{4}+1)_m} \\ &= \frac{\beta^2(2m)!}{2^{4m}(\frac{p}{4}+\frac{1}{2})_m(\frac{p}{4}+1)_m}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{B}_1 U_{2m+1}\|^2_{\mathcal{F}_1} &= (\beta_{2m+1})^2 \|f_{2m+1}\|^2_{\mathcal{F}_1} = \frac{(\beta_{2m+1})^2}{c_{2m+1}} \\ &= \frac{\beta'^2(\frac{p}{4}+1)_m(\frac{3}{2})_m m!}{2^{2m}(\frac{p}{4}+1)^2_m(\frac{p}{4}+\frac{3}{2})_m} \\ &= \frac{\beta'^2(\frac{p}{4}+1)_m(2m)!}{2^{4m}(\frac{p}{4}+1)^2_m(\frac{p}{4}+\frac{3}{2})_m} \\ &= \frac{\beta'^2(2m)!}{2^{4m}(\frac{p}{4}+1)_m(\frac{p}{4}+\frac{3}{2})_m}\end{aligned}$$

For $\beta = 1, = \beta' =$, one gets the unitarity of the operators $\mathcal{B}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_1$.

We have assumed that the spherical representations ρ_0 and $d\omega_0$ are equivalent. In fact, this follows from the uniqueness of the spherical minimal representation of the group $\mathrm{SL}(p+2,\mathbb{R})$. We have also assumed that the non spherical representations ρ_1 and $d\omega_1$ are equivalent. In fact this follows from the uniqueness of the non spherical minimal representation of the group $\mathrm{SL}(p+2,\mathbb{R})$. Then we have built explicit intertwining operators $\mathcal{B}_0$ for ρ_0 and $d\omega_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_1$ for ρ_1 and $d\omega_1$ by using necessary conditions.

References

[A11] D. Achab (2011), *Construction process for simple Lie algebras,* J. of Algebra, **325,**186-204.

[AF12] D. Achab and J. Faraut (2012), *Analysis of the Brylinski-Kostant model for minimal representations, Canad.J. of Math.,***64,** 721-754.

[A14] D. Achab (2014), *Minimal representations of simple real Lie groups of non Hermitian type,* arxiv, to appear.

[AF16] D. Achab and J. Faraut (2016), *Analysis of the minimal representation of $O(m,n)$,* Preprint.

[B97] R. Brylinski (1997), *Quantization of the 4-dimensional nilpotent orbit of $SL(3,\mathbb{R})$,* Canad. Jou.l of Math.,**49,**916-943.

[B98] R. Brylinski (1998), *Geometric quantization of real minimal nilpotent orbits,* Symp. Geom., Diff. Geom. and Appl., 9, 5-58.

[BK94] R. Brylinski and B. Kostant (1994), *Minimal representations, geometric quantization and unitarity,* Proc. Nat. Acad., **91,** 6026-6029.

[F15] J. Faraut (2015), *Analysis of the minimal representation of $O(n,n)$,* Preprint.

[KO03a] T. Kobayashi and B. Orsted (2003), *Analysis on the minimal representation of $O(p,q)$, I. Realization via conformal geometry,* Adv. Math., **180,** 486-512.

[KO03b] T. Kobayashi and B. Orsted (2003), *Analysis on the minimal representation of $O(p,q)$, II. Branching laws,* Adv. Math.,**180,** 513-550.
[KO03c] T. Kobayashi and B. Orsted (2003), *Analysis on the minimal representation of $O(p,q)$, III. Ultrahyperbolic equations on $\mathbb{R}^{p-1,q-1}$,* Adv. Math., **180,** 551-595.

[KM11] T. Kobayashi and G. Mano (2011), *The Schrödinger model for the minimal representation of the indefinite orthogonal group $O(p,q)$,* Memoir of the American Mathematical Society, Volume 213, Number 1000.

[M] V.F. Molchanov (1970), *Representations of pseudo-orthogonal groups associated with a cone,* Math. USSR Sbornik, **10,** 333-347.